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A QUEST FOR EXPERT OPINION...

1. Overview of project
2. Spectrum of interaction
3. Solicit your opinion
   • Type of interaction
   • Management strategies

Conflict Project:
Part of Wisconsin SCORP 2005-2010

Deliver management-relevant outputs:
- Literature review & overview of norms/satisfaction
- Develop a matrix of recreational interactions
- Strategize - existing & creative approaches to manage interaction

Managing interaction:
- Maximize supplementary and complementary recreational uses (emphasize the glass half-full)
- Minimize competitive conflicts through regulation
- Separate antagonistic competitors (segregated use)
Spectrum of Interaction

“BAD” Spectrum of Recreational Interaction “GOOD”

ANTAGONISTIC  COMPETITIVE  SUPPLEMENTARY  COMPLEMENTARY

Interaction Type

Separate  Regulate  Monitor  Encourage

Relevant Management Tools

Competitive & Complementary Uses

Tent camping  Complements  Competitors  RV camping
Supplementary recreational uses

- Hiking
- Supplements
- Bird watching

Antagonistic competition

- Wilderness hiking
- Horseback riding
- ATV use

- Antagonists
For our exercise today, let’s use this scale

In addition, please identify interaction caveats including:
thresholds, geographic scale, and mitigating/compounding factors

How does camping interact with:

- Hunting?
- Fishing?
- Biking?
- Watching Wildlife?
- Hiking?
- Horseback Riding?
- Snowmobiling?
- ATV Riding?
How does **ATV riding** interact with:

- Hunting?
- Fishing?
- Camping?
- Biking?
- Watching Wildlife?
- Hiking?
- Horseback Riding?
- Snowmobiling?

**Strategies**

- How does segregation work to alleviate antagonistic recreational use?
- How about regulation with competitive uses?
- Is “encouragement” a feasible opportunity for complementary uses?